UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/707,410	11/06/2000	Dan H. Nowlin	42390.P8182	9953
David J Kaplan	7590 05/16/200	EXAMINER		
Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP			ABRISHAMKAR, KAVEH	
12400 Wilshire Boulevard 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2131	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/707,410	NOWLIN, DAN H.			
Examiner initiated interview Califficary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	KAVEH ABRISHAMKAR	2131			
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_			
(1) <u>Kaveh Abrishamkar</u> .	(3)				
(2) Jeremy Schweigert (Reg. No. 56,244).	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>13 May 2008</u>	Time:				
Type of Interview:					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: None					
Claims discussed: 20					
Prior art documents discussed: None					
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet					
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview			
/Kaveh Abrishamkar/ Examiner, Art Unit 2131	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner notified Applicant of a typographical error that must be corrected before the patent can be published. This correction changed the dependency of original claim 20 to depend on claim 11 instead of claim 12 (cancelled). The Applicant authorized the amendment.